$[Ir(SCN)(NH_3)_5](ClO_4)_2$ the minimum N-H···O distance is 2.96 Å suggesting that the hydrogen bonds are relatively weak. This is to be expected in view of the acidic strength of HClO₄.

The arrangement surrounding the Ir atom is slightly distorted from a regular octahedron. Large distortions are not to be expected for low-spin d^6 complexes and it seems likely that this is caused by the difference in size of the N and S atoms. The Ir-S-C angle of $109 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ agrees well with the value found for Co-S-C in [Co(SCN)(NH₃)₅]Cl₂. H₂O (Snow & Boomsma, 1972) and confirms that the compound is the thiocyanato and not the isothiocyanato isomer.

We would like to thank Professor C. K. Jørgensen for suggesting this problem and for helpful discussions, and A. Regnard who carried out some preliminary measurements for us.

References

- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O., LEVY, H. A., ELLISON, R. D., HAMILTON, W. C., IBERS, J. A., JOHNSON, C. K. & THIES-SEN, W. E. (1971a). XFLS3. Crystallographic Structure-Factor Least-Squares Program, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O., LEVY, H. A., BROWN, G. M., JOHNSON, C. K. & THIESSEN, W. A. (1971b). ORFFE3. Crystallographic Function and Error Program, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, p. 201. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1970). ORTEP, ORNL-3794 (Second Revision). Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- SCHMIDTKE, H. H. (1966). Inorg. Chem. 5, 1682-1687.
- SNOW, M. R. & BOOMSMA, R. F. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 1908–1913.

Acta Cryst. (1973). B29, 1102

The Crystal Structures of Methyl 5-Thio-α-D-ribopyranoside and Methyl 5-Thio-β-D-ribopyranoside

BY R. L. GIRLING* AND G. A. JEFFREY

Department of Crystallography, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15260, U.S.A.

(Received 16 October 1972; accepted 15 January 1973)

The crystal structures of methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside and methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside, $C_6H_{12}O_4S$, have been determined from Cu $K\alpha$ radiation data and refined to R values of 0.03 and 0.04 respectively. Both structures have space group C2 with four molecules in unit cells of a = 12.26 (1), b = 4.942 (6), c = 13.76 (1) Å, $\beta = 105.07$ (8)° and of a = 12.39 (3), b = 4.786 (9), c = 14.57 (4) Å, $\beta = 109.96$ (7)°, respectively. The molecules have the 4C_1 ring conformation. In the α configuration, this gives rise to a *synaxial* O···O interaction at 2.99 Å with no intramolecular hydrogen bond formation. In the β compound, one hydroxyl hydrogen [H(2)] has three oxygen nearest neighbour distances of 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 Å, the shortest of which may correspond to a weak intramolecular hydrogen bond between vicinal hydroxyl groups. The bond lengths are normal with a mean C-S distance of 1.815 Å and a ring angle at the sulfur atom of 98°. In both structures, the molecules are hydrogen-bonded into layers, two molecules wide, with van der Waals interactions between the layers.

Introduction

This paper is the second of a series describing crystal structural studies on some thioribopyranosides supplied to us by Professor N. A. Hughes, of the University of Newcastle upon Tyne, U. K. The first was that on methyl 1-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside (Girling & Jeffrey, 1971, 1973); this work has determined the structure of two compounds with the sulfur atom in the pyranose ring, methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside (I) and methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside (II).

* Present address: Biomedical Division, Bldg. 202, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60440, U.S.A.



Experimental

The compounds I and II were recrystallized from three different solvents: ethanol, ethanol-benzene and

benzene. No polymorphism was observed. The crystals used for data collection were elongated in the **b** direction with dimensions $0.11 \times 0.45 \times 0.21$ mm for I and $0.01 \times 0.47 \times 0.02$ mm for II; larger crystals could not be obtained for II. Preliminary cell dimensions from film measurements were refined by a least-squares fit to the 20's of twenty computer centered reflections measured using Cu Ka radiation on a CAD-3 diffractometer at room temperature. The intensities of all symmetry independent reflections were measured using a θ -2 θ scan with a variable scan width, and Ni-filtered Cu $K\alpha$ radiation. For I, the data were taken on a CAD-3 diffractometer with 6° per min scan rate and a background time equal to the scan. For II, a Picker FACS I diffractometer was used with a 1° per min scan rate and two 10-sec backgrounds. For I, 19 reflections of the 681 measured were less than two standard deviations above background. For II, owing to the very small crystals, the number of these 'unobserved' reflections was 218 out of 693 measured, and data were less accurate owing to the poor background-to-intensity ratio.

Table 1. Atomic parameters with their estimated standard deviations for methyl 5-thio- α - and methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside

Positional parameters are expressed as fractions of the lattice translations. Thermal parameters are defined by the expression $T = \exp \left[-(h^2\beta_{11} + k^2\beta_{22} + l^2\beta_{33} + 2hk\beta_{12} + 2hl\beta_{13} + 2kl\beta_{23}) \right]$. Non-hydrogen parameters $\times 10^4$; hydrogen parameters $\times 10^2$. Methyl=5-thio- α -p-ribopyrapside

	5 time w B me	oppranosiae							
$\begin{array}{c} S(5) \\ C(1) \\ C(2) \\ C(3) \\ C(5) \\ C(6) \\ O(1) \\ O(2) \\ O(3) \\ O(4) \\ H(2) \\ H(3) \\ H(4) \\ H(5) \\ H(6) \\ H(7) \\ H(8) \\ H(9) \\ H(10) \\ H(10) \\ H(11) \\ H(12) \\ H(13) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} x\\ 798 (1)\\ -354 (4)\\ -91 (5)\\ 1012 (4)\\ 2015 (4)\\ 1947 (4)\\ -1234 (5)\\ -663 (3)\\ -1021 (4)\\ 940 (3)\\ 3064 (3)\\ -10 (1)\\ 12 (1)\\ 32 (1)\\ -10 (1)\\ -1 (1)\\ 11 (1)\\ 20 (1)\\ 27 (1)\\ -17 (1)\\ -17 (1)\\ -19 (1)\end{array}$	y 0* 1024 (1) 419 (1) 1466 (1) 623 (1) 1676 (1) 4261 (2) 3752 (1) 1133 (1) 4323 (1) 1447 (1) 22 (2) 46 (2) 26 (2) -10 (2) 8 (2) -13 (2) 12 (2) 37 (2) 38 (2) 27 (2) 57 (2)	$\begin{array}{c}z\\1513\ (1)\\2043\ (4)\\3162\ (5)\\3835\ (4)\\3456\ (4)\\2410\ (4)\\810\ (4)\\1858\ (2)\\3546\ (4)\\3895\ (2)\\4152\ (3)\\3546\ (4)\\3895\ (2)\\4152\ (3)\\36\ (1)\\44\ (1)\\40\ (1)\\17\ (1)\\32\ (1)\\23\ (1)\\24\ (1)\\3\ (1)\\5\ (1)\\7\ (1)\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \beta_{11} \text{ or } B \\ 43 (1) \\ 34 (3) \\ 34 (3) \\ 34 (3) \\ 45 (4) \\ 31 (3) \\ 39 (4) \\ 78 (5) \\ 49 (3) \\ 38 (2) \\ 53 (3) \\ 35 (3) \\ 0 (1) \\ 5 (2) \\ 1 (1) \\ 2 (1) \\ 1 (1) \\ 1 (1) \\ 2 (1) \\ 4 (3) \\ 9 (1) \\ 8 (2) \end{array} $	β_{22} 398 (1) 252 (3) 143 (2) 135 (2) 139 (2) 306 (3) 488 (4) 258 (2) 208 (2) 155 (2) 248 (2)	β_{33} 39 (1) 39 (3) 51 (3) 30 (2) 41 (3) 37 (3) 30 (3) 27 (2) 56 (2) 33 (2) 47 (2)	β_{12} 3 (2) - 13 (8) 8 (8) 2 (8) - 11 (7) - 18 (9) 62 (13) 11 (6) 1 (6) 12 (6) - 24 (6)	$ \begin{array}{c} \beta_{13} \\ 15 (1) \\ 7 (3) \\ 22 (2) \\ 12 (3) \\ 7 (2) \\ 14 (3) \\ -5 (3) \\ -2 (2) \\ 27 (2) \\ 3 (2) \\ 1 (2) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} \beta_{23} \\ -35 (2) \\ -18 (7) \\ 14 (7) \\ 16 (6) \\ 6 (7) \\ -7 (8) \\ -14 (10) \\ 0 (5) \\ 0 (5) \\ -3 (6) \\ 39 (5) \end{array} $
Methyl	5-thio-β-D-rib	opyranoside							
	x.	v	7	β_{11} or B	Baa	ß.,	Bin	<i>R</i> .,	Baa
$\begin{array}{c} S(5) \\ C(1) \\ C(2) \\ C(3) \\ C(4) \\ C(5) \\ C(6) \\ O(1) \\ O(2) \\ O(3) \\ O(4) \\ H(2) \\ H(3) \\ H(3) \\ H(6) \\ H(7) \\ H(6) \\ H(7) \\ H(6) \\ H(7) \\ H(10) \\ H(10) \\ H(11) \\ H(12) \\ H(13) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1836 (2)\\ 3324 (19)\\ 3790 (22)\\ 3113 (10)\\ 1859 (9)\\ 1258 (16)\\ 3857 (15)\\ 3996 (7)\\ 4961 (6)\\ 3230 (7)\\ 1253 (9)\\ 52 (1)\\ 34 (1)\\ 15 (1)\\ 33 (2)\\ 37 (2)\\ 36 (1)\\ 17 (1)\\ 4 (1)\\ 13 (1)\\ 30 (1)\\ 37 (1)\\ 44 (1)\\ \end{array}$	0^* -1057 (4) -915 (3) -185 (4) -1262 (3) -1404 (4) -181 (3) -659 (2) -3797 (2) -793 (2) -13 (3) -10 (3) -20 (3) 5 (3) 3 (3) -12 (3) -13 (3) -13 (3) -17 (3)	$\begin{array}{c} 1557 (2)\\ 2189 (16)\\ 3203 (20)\\ 3842 (8)\\ 3436 (8)\\ 2457 (12)\\ 768 (14)\\ 1639 (6)\\ 3646 (9)\\ 3961 (6)\\ 4090 (6)\\ 39 (1)\\ 45 (1)\\ 44 (1)\\ 21 (2)\\ 30 (2)\\ 46 (1)\\ 32 (1)\\ 21 (1)\\ 24 (1)\\ 2 (1)\\ 7 (1)\\ 5 (1)\\ \end{array}$	58 (2) 56 (11) 41 (10) 61 (10) 40 (9) 55 (11) 98 (16) 82 (8) 32 (9) 72 (8) 68 (10) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	424 (2) 414 (7) 225 (7) 114 (5) 83 (6) 348 (10) 871 (8) 441 (11) 56 (8) 161 (10) 184 (17)	37 (2) 41 (9) 43 (8) 25 (6) 40 (6) 37 (7) 61 (12) 47 (5) 59 (6) 36 (5) 42 (6)	$\begin{array}{c} -11 \\ -31 \\ (3) \\ -14 \\ (5) \\ -16 \\ (2) \\ -16 \\ (3) \\ 2 \\ (3) \\ -30 \\ (4) \\ -56 \\ (3) \\ 12 \\ (2) \\ 31 \\ (2) \\ -28 \\ (2) \end{array}$	15 (1) 16 (8) 21 (7) 9 (7) 16 (6) 9 (7) 39 (11) 39 (5) 10 (6) 20 (5) 34 (6)	$\begin{array}{c} 50 \\ 50 \\ -22 \\ 3 \\ (4) \\ -29 \\ (2) \\ -12 \\ (3) \\ 9 \\ (2) \\ -50 \\ (4) \\ -27 \\ (2) \\ 22 \\ (2) \\ 16 \\ (1) \\ 0 \\ (1) \end{array}$

* Not varied owing to cell-origin definition.

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors for (a) I, and (b) II

Columns are: l (for I) or h (for III) index, $10|F_{obs}|$, $10|F_{calc}|$. Asterisks indicate unobserved reflections.

(a)

(b)

641155674655082263873095714083781777530880858865558840242 115856597465508226387309571408378177753088085886555886552247566668865558 1234567890123 432109876543210123456789012 432109876543210123 1370880985955377765481598757881598757884809985577535480985987576848098598757684159747 -12-110-98-76-54-72-10123456789011121112-4 -2 -10123456789 -10 K09876543210 K09876543210123456789 K10987654321012345678 987 308-42 308 07773472334466771023147836451837214446889526426667112374599527953364518446528525426665188521136553983672243 4263835866852-539415611 3-1856 65237423-38353416225-5843672 $\begin{smallmatrix} 622 \\ 050 \\ 7413 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\ 562 \\ 7413 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\ 562 \\ 143 \\$ 326961489420088375432074361413 1856 65227423 384525 7216 5933772 1173512893710332754324312468337245573513498242322111877643502420700422471457331246824232211187716435024507004224710431314882533221111111222132 2583590784465913037354043689461544412984252776855770259980418127666502772884554511113712111521144129842527768557765511817913122766 23456789 01234567890112 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2345678901234 K543210987654321012345678901234 K543221098765432 -11 -10 -8 -7 -5 -4 -2 -10 123 45 K 7 -5 -4 -3 2 -10 123 45 K -12110987654321012345678 -15-14-12-10-8-7-65-4-3-21 -14 -13 -12 -11 -10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 -12 -11 -10 -9 -7 -7 -5

-11 -9 -7 -5 -3 -1 3 5 7 9 -1 24463202116479164530582225100399144056683197220657214652650221755045 4790124 479014 47904 39422597700648482778053067700901437669486858303446836952659770864848277805709014276696886858303446836952688849796014744722 $\begin{array}{c} 3 & 310 \\ 1 & 652 \\ 1 & 661 \\ 1 & 662 \\$ 940115539877000794600379414554334344543470071308547107135201491111526754171057414577074450754111152675417127870491517157107445770744577071275411115254171275254 $\begin{array}{c} 212\\ 212\\ 100\\ 17^{4}\\ 1\\ 2\\ 21^{4}\\ 17^{4}\\ 10^{4}\\ 5\\ 10^{4}\\ 22^{4}\\ 4\\ 6\\ 1\\ 10^{4}\\ 222^{4}\\ 4\\ 6\\ 1\\ 10^{4}\\ 10^$ 2052 1159 1259 1259 1210 1259 1210 1259 1210 1259 1210 1259 1210 1350 1310 1110 1110 1120 19787644363139588497711538044573514845054549416233650247474795262 19787644363358849771558044573514845954474747452356502474747952862 12462 1 940015 5113327551384453120118558880164711271705511848744296187575557584531548744 18-1220 total 13-579 tubristin 579 tubristin 11-1579 tubristin 11-3056683159760465681488567779464795241402414404770432477884782477844578474744655255 975311357 # 1975311357 # 19753111357 # 19753111357 # 197531135 # 17531135 # 1764 1135 # 17631 1058808001200547600045197308507 400587049049494058390451042144061140588001420547600451973085011411140 6 -8-4-2024 K84-2024 K864-202K -4-207 K4-207 15 - 1 16 - 1 17 - 1 18 - 1 18 - 1 18 - 1 18 - 1 22 - 1 22 - 1 22 - 1 22 - 1 22 - 1 22 - 1 22 - 1 23 - 5 27 - 1 -42024680 -3 -1 -3 5 7 9 11 2 373412987769156181442079625043196699 26 46535 53362 24 27 432 287 246802 102*-12086420246802 112*-1086420246802 -13 -11 -9 -7 -5 -3 -1 1 3 5 7 9 11 -12 -10 -8 -4 -2 0 2 6 8 10 -131-97-53-11357 v K31-1-753-11357 K1-97-53-11357 K1-97-53-1107-53-100-53-100-53-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-50-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-50-50-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-100-50-50-100-50-100-50-10 -12 -10 -8 -4 -2 02 46 8 102 -13 -11 -9 -7 -5 -3 -1 1 3 5 7 4 11 -13 -11 -9 -7 -5 -1 1 3 5 7 9 11 -12 -10 -64 -0 -46 -0 -68 K= -13 -11 -9 -7 -5 -3 -1 1 3 5 7 9 K= -13 11 -13 -11 -9 -7 -5 -12 -10 -8 -6 -4 -2 -1







Fig. 1. The thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level, and the atomic nomenclature of (a) methyl 5-thio- α -Dribopyranoside, (b) methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside.



Fig. 2. Synaxial non-bonding 1,3 interaction in methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside. Molecule viewed in the direction of the C(3)-C(4), C(1)-S(5) bonds.

Crystal data

•							
Methyl 5-thio-α-D- ribopyranoside	Methyl 5-thio-β-D- ribopyranoside						
C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₄ S, M.W. 180·2							
m.p. 74–6°C	m.p. 127–9°C						
Monoclinic, space group C2, from systematic ex- tinction; $h+k$ odd missing for <i>hkl</i> and structure solu- tion Z=4							
μ (Cu K α) = 34·3 cm ⁻¹							
a = 12.26 (1) b = 4.942 (6)	a = 12.39 (3) b = 4.786 (9)						
c = 13.76 (1) Å	c = 14.57 (4) Å						
$\beta = 105.07 (8)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 109.96 (7)^{\circ}$						
$D_m = 1.479 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (flotation)	$D_m = 1.471 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (flotation)						
$D_x = 1.484 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$	$D_x = 1.474 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$						

Structure solution and refinement

The squared structure amplitudes were used to calculate Patterson syntheses for both structures from which atomic positions of the non-hydrogen atoms were easily located for I. The Patterson synthesis of II failed to reveal the sulfur-sulfur vectors unambiguously, because of the more limited and less accurate data. The molecular orientation and origin of II was subsequently deduced from packing considerations by means of models. Anisotropic full-matrix least-squares heavy-atom refinement of I and II gave agreement indices R of 0.049 and 0.067 respectively. The hydrogen atoms were located in both structures from difference maps, despite the poor data for II. Anomalous dispersion corrections (Cromer & Liberman, 1970) for sulfur and oxygen atoms were then added



Fig. 3. The environment of H(2) in the crystal structure of methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside. The dashed lines show the three nearest neighbour oxygen atoms at distances close to the van der Waals separation of 2.6 Å.

and a full-matrix least-squares refinement of all heavy atoms anisotropically and hydrogen atoms isotropically yielded a final unweighted R of 0.033 for I and 0.044 for II. The function minimized was $w(|F_o| K[F_c]^2$ where K is a single scale factor. The hydrogen temperature factors were held constant in II because of the small number of data. It was noted during the refinement of II that when $\omega = 1.0$ the F_{obs} of reflections with high standard deviations gave relatively poor agreement with F_{calc} . A final full-matrix least-squares refinement for II with weights $[\omega = 1/\sigma(F)^2]$ derived from counting statistics (Shiono, 1970) resulted in a wR of 0.019 with valence distances and angles which were close to the expected values. A similar refinement for I yielded no significant improvement and the positional and thermal parameters reported are from the statistically weighted refinement of II and the unweighted ($\omega = 1.0$) refinement of I.

The standard deviations in the final positional parameters of II are at least twice as large as those in I reflecting the poorer counting statistics and fewer data of the former. No observed reflections were removed or weighted zero for any reason during the refinement. The absolute configuration of both sugars was confirmed at the 99.9% confidence level by the methods of Ibers & Hamilton (1964). The final atomic positional and thermal parameters are given in Table 1 and the structure factors in Table 2. The atomic numbering and thermal ellipsoids are shown in Fig. 1. The atomic scattering factors for carbon and oxygen were those from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962). For hydrogen, the values given by Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965) were used.

Results and discussion

Both molecules have the normal ${}^{4}C_{1}$ conformation, as shown in I' and II'. For II, this was anticipated because the alternative ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation, II'', has three axial substituents, which causes conformational instability when an alternative with less axial groups is possible. The ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation, I", is also observed to predominate for some α -methyl ribopyranoside derivatives in non-polar solvents, by reason of this bonding $O(2)H \cdots O(4)H$ interaction (cf. Lemieux, 1971).* However, this is not observed in this crystal structure; neither is there any evidence for an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the syn-axial groups O(3)H to O(1)–CH₃ in I', which could provide addi-tional stability for the C_1 conformer. The O(3)···O(1) separation is 2.99 Å and H(3) points away from O(1)and towards O(4) on an adjacent molecule, forming an intermolecular hydrogen bond with a H(3) to O(4) distance of 2.2 Å, see Fig. 2. Of the two equatorial hydroxyls, O(4)H forms a normal intermolecular hydrogen bond to O(2) on an adjacent molecule $[O(4) \cdots O(2), 2.79 \text{ Å}; H(4) \cdots O(2), 2.12 \text{ Å}]; \text{ but } H(2)$ has either close van der Waals contacts or possibly weak hydrogen bonds to three nearest neighbour oxygens, including two vicinal oxygens O(1) and O(3)in the same molecule, as shown in Fig. 3. Crystallographic evidence for intramolecular hydrogen bonding between vicinal hydroxyls is rare in the crystal structures of carbohydrates, although there is strong spectroscopic evidence for such intramolecular bonding in 1,2 diols (Kuhn, 1954). In carbohydrates, the geometry for vicinal bonds is generally unfavorable relative to synaxial or intermolecular hydrogen-bonding. Stronger evidence for vicinal hydrogen bonding has been observed in the crystal structure of methyl α -D-altropyranoside (Gatehouse & Poppleton, 1971) where there is also no intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the synaxial O(3)-H and O(1)-CH₃ groups.



In this structure, therefore, hydrogen bonding provides no obvious reason why the ${}^{4}C_{1}$ ring conformation is preferred over the ${}^{1}C_{4}$, as found in the methyl 1-thio- α derivative. It is possible that a difference in the conformational energy terms arising from the anomeric effect (Lemieux, 1964), according to whether the sulfur is in the 1 or 5 position, is the significant factor in this distinction between these two thioribopyranosides.

The conformation about the glycosidic bond C(1)-O(1) is synclinal (gauche) with respect to the ring S in both derivatives, with S(5)-C(1)-O(1)-C(6) torsion angles of 71 and -69° for I and II, respectively. In I, this orientation for the methyl group is that of least van der Waals repulsions, since the -sc, (-60°) , and ap (180°) orientations result in van der Waals overlap between the methyl group and O(2) and O(3) respectively. In II, the ap orientation is eliminated by the repulsive interaction between the CH₃ and O(2).

^{*} In the α configuration, both I' and I'' have two axial substituents and the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond between O(2)H and O(4)H should stabilize the latter, ${}^{1}C_{4}$, conformation, as in the crystal structure of methyl 1-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside (Girling & Jeffrey, 1973).

The +sc orientation would involve a weaker van der Waals repulsion between the CH₃ and H(6), leading to a slightly higher conformational energy than the observed -sc orientation, which has no such van der Waals interactions (see Fig. 1). In both structures, the conformation observed is consistent with the orientation favored by the '*exo*-anomeric effect' (*cf.* Lemieux, 1971; Jeffrey, Pople & Radom, 1973).

The bond distances and bond angles for I and II are given in Table 3. Those of II are subject to large standard deviations and with the exception of the C-S bonds, there is a systematic trend for most of the bond distances to appear short. This is associated with larger values and a greater spread of the thermal parameters shown in Table 1. In I, the C-S bond lengths are not significantly different, and the mean value of 1.817 Å can be compared with the C(1)-S(1) value of 1.802 Å in methyl 1-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside (Girling & Jeffrey, 1973). The mean C-C distance is 1.514 Å and the mean C-O distance excluding C(1)-

O(1) is 1.434 Å. There is, therefore, an indication of the usual anomeric bond shortening in the C(1)-O(1)distance of 1.405 Å (Berman, Chu & Jeffrey, 1967; Jeffrey, Pople & Radom, 1973). The S valence angle is more acute than for a cyclic oxygen, and this agrees with the 99.1° observed for C(1)-S(1)-C(6) in methyl 1-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside. The O-H bond distances are consistently 'observed' short, *i.e.* 0.5 to 0.7 Å, and this is especially so in II, where the data were less accurate. This is commonly observed in carbohydrate structures, in contrast to the C-H distances which are observed closer to the internuclear separations, and is probably due to the greater electronegativity of the oxygen atoms. The torsion angles are given in Table 4. In the comparison of the two rings, the distortion due to the synaxial repulsion in I might be expected to result in a wider distribution of the ring torsion angles and this is observed. The substituent torsion angles correspond closely except, of course, for the differences due to the α and β configuration.



Fig. 4. (a) Perspective view down the b axis of the hydrogen bonding in methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside. (The methoxyl group and the methylene hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.) The weak vicinal interaction between H(2) and O(3) is included.



(b)

Fig. 4. (cont.). (b) Hydrogen bonding (dotted lines) in methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside.

The hydrogen bonding is shown in Fig. 4. In I, it forms a series of infinite helical chains extending in the **b** direction, if we include a very weak vicinal interaction of 2.5 Å between H(2) and O(3), *i.e.* \cdots O(2)- $H \cdots O(3) - H \cdots O(4) - H \cdots O(2) \cdots$. There is an additional weak interaction between H(2) and O(4) on an adjacent molecule at 2.6 Å, which is not regarded as a hydrogen bond, although the corresponding $O(2)\cdots$ O(4) distance is 2.79 Å. With the exception of $O(2)-H \cdots O(3)$, which has been discussed above, the hydrogen distances and angles are normal: O(3)... $O(4) 2.85 \text{ Å}, O(4) \cdots O(2) 2.79 \text{ Å}, H(3) \cdots O(2) 2.2 \text{ Å},$ $H(4) \cdots O(2) 2 \cdot 1 \text{ Å}, O(3) - H(3) - O(4) 168^{\circ}, O(4) - H(4) - H(4$ O(2) 174°. All other intermolecular $O \cdots O$ and O···C distances are greater than 3.25 Å. The intermolecular $O \cdots H$ or $C \cdots H$ distances are greater than 2.5 Å, and the $H \cdots H$ distances are 2.5 Å or greater, except for $H(9) \cdots H(13)$ and $H(12) \cdots H(13)$ at 2.4 and 2.3 Å, respectively.

In II, the hydrogen-oxygen interactions form a chain of molecules in the \mathbf{a} direction linked in pairs by a double-stranded arrangement, the geometry of which is shown in detail in Fig. 5. Of these interactions, only that between O(4) and H(3) qualifies as a reasonably strong hydrogen bond. A similar type of doublestranded interaction between two parallel hydroxyl bonds has previously been observed in potassium gluconate monohydrate (Jeffrey & Fasiska, 1972), with shorter $O \cdots H$ distances, 1.9 and 2.2 Å. The molecules displaced in the **a** direction are linked by a single hydrogen bond, O(2) \cdots O(4) 2.88 Å, H(2) \cdots O(2) 2.50 Å. (There is some uncertainty concerning the position of H(2) in this low precision analysis, since the separation of O(2) to the atom O(4) is shorter, *i.e.* 2.78 Å, in the molecule below that shown in Fig. 5, although the H(2) position observed gives a H(2) \cdots O(4) distance which is 2.94 Å.

Despite the differences in hydrogen bonding, the molecular packing, shown in Fig. 6, reflects the similarity in the crystal data of the two compounds. In both structures, alternate (002) planes correspond to hydrolytic and non-hydrolytic interfaces, the former by hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyls and the latter by van der Waals contacts between the methyl

Table 3. Bond lengths and angles (other than 109.5°) for methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside (I) and methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside (II)

Bond lengths	Ι	II
S(5) - C(1)	1·821 (5) Å	1·83 (2) Å
S(5) - C(5)	1.813 (5)	1.80(2)
C(1) - C(2)	1.517(8)	1.47(2)
C(2) - C(3)	1.516 (7)	1.51(2)
C(3) - C(4)	1.513 (8)	1.50(2)
C(4) - C(5)	1.512 (8)	1.46(2)
$\vec{C}(1) - \vec{O}(1)$	1.405 (6)	1.40(2)
C(2) - O(2)	1.420 (9)	1.40(2)
C(3) - O(3)	1.418 (7)	1.39 (2)
C(4) - O(4)	1.448 (6)	1.43(2)
C(6)-O(1)	1.452 (6)	1.35 (2)
Bond angles		
C(1) = S(5) = C(5)	98.5 (3)°	97 (1)°
S(5) - C(1) - C(2)	111.5(4)	113 (1)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	118.2(4)	115 (2)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	111.7(4)	114 (1)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	112.6(4)	115 (1)
S(5) - C(1) - O(1)	113.2(3)	109 (1)
C(1) - O(1) - C(6)	112·5 (4)	120 (1)
C(3) - C(2) - O(2)	111.2(4)	111 (2)
C(2)-C(3)-O(3)	108.4 (4)	107 (1)
C(4) - C(3) - O(3)	111.3 (4)	109 (1)
C(4) - C(5) - S(5)	109.4 (4)	113 (1)
C(2)-C(1)-O(1)	110.6 (4)	112 (2)
C(3)-C(4)-O(4)	110.0 (4)	113 (1)
C(5)-C(4)-O(4)	110.6 (4)	112 (1)
C(1)-C(2)-O(2)	110.2 (4)	113 (1)

Table 4.	Τc	orsion	angles	for	methyl	5-thio	-α-D-rib	opyr-
anoside	(I)	and	methyl	5-tl	hio-β-D-	ribopy	ranoside	e (II)

Ring angles	I	II
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	53·0°	- 53·1°
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	60.2	54.9
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-S(5)	-68.1	- 60.1
C(4)-C(5)-S(5)-C(1)	59.2	54.1
C(5)-S(5)-C(1)-C(2)	-51.2	-52.2
S(5) - C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	52.8	56·0
Substituent angles		
O(4)-C(4)-C(5)-S(5)	167.2	169.0
O(4) - C(4) - C(3) - O(3)	63.4	60.4
O(4) - C(4) - C(3) - C(2)	$-175 \cdot 2$	-175.1
O(3) - C(3) - C(4) - (C5)	-61.1	- 64.6
O(3) - C(3) - C(2) - O(2)	- 59.3	-62.6
O(3) - C(3) - C(2) - C(1)	70 ·0	67.8
O(2) - C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	178·2	176.5
O(2) - C(2) - C(1) - S(5)	-177.7	-174.1
O(2) - C(2) - C(1) - O(1)	55.6	- 50.4
O(1) - C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	-74.1	179.7
O(1) - C(1) - S(5) - C(5)	74.1	-177.6
C(6) - O(1) - C(1) - S(5)	71.0	- 68.9
C(6) - O(1) - C(1) - C(2)	-16?.1	164.8

and methylene groups and sulfur atoms. The molecules are therefore hydrogen bonded into layers separated by van der Waals interactions.



Fig. 5. Detail of the O(3)H \cdots O(4)H hydrogen bonding in methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside. The distance O(3) \cdots O(4) is 2.85 Å.



Fig. 6. Molecular packing viewed down the *b* axis. The dotted lines indicate hydrogen bonds. (*a*) Methyl 5-thio- α -D-ribopyranoside, (*b*) methyl 5-thio- β -D-ribopyranoside.

(b)

This research is supported by Grant No. GM-11293 of the U.S. Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health.

References

- BERMAN, H. M., CHU, S. C. C. & JEFFREY, G. A. (1967). Science, 157, 1576-1577.
- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.
- GATEHOUSE, B. M. & POPPLETON, B. J. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 871–876.
- GIRLING, R. L. & JEFFREY, G. A. (1971). Carbohydr. Res. 18, 339-341.
- GIRLING, R. L. & JEFFREY, G. A. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 1006-1011.

- IBERS, J. A. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 781–782.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, p. 202. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JEFFREY, G. A. & FASISKA, E. J. (1972). Carbohydr. Res. 21, 187–199.
- JEFFREY, G. A., POPLE, J. & RADOM, L. (1972). Carbohydr. Res. 25, 117-131.
- KUHN, L. P. (1954). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 76, 4323-4326.
- LEMIEUX, R. U. (1964). *Molecular Rearrangements*, Part 2. Edited by P. DE MAYO. New York: Interscience.
- LEMIEUX, R. U. (1971). Pure Appl. Chem. 25, 527-548.
- SHIONO, R. (1970). Technical Reports Nos. 48 and 49, Dept. of Crystallography, Univ. of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.

Acta Cryst. (1973). B29, 1111

Structure Cristalline du Polychélate Co-2,3-PYD.2H₂O

PAR P. RICHARD,* D. TRAN QUI ET E. F. BERTAUT

C.N.R.S., Laboratoire des Rayons-X, B.P. n° 166, Centre de Tri, 38042 Grenoble Cedex, France

(Recu le 13 juin 1972, accepté le 1 décembre 1972)

The crystal structure of the polychelate Co-2,3-PYD $2H_2O$ (C₆H₂N₂O₄Co 2H₂O) was determined by the symbolic addition method with data collected on a single-crystal diffractometer, using Mo Ka radiation. The crystals are orthorhombic, space group *Pcca*, with eight molecules in a unit cell of dimensions a = 8.037, b = 13.977 and c = 15.478 Å. The hydrogen atoms were found from a difference Fourier synthesis. The refinement was carried out by least-squares calculations with anisotropic temperature factors included for all atoms except hydrogen. No corrections were made neither for absorption or extinction. The final *R* value on *F* is 0.034 for 1542 reflexions. The structure consists of chains of molecules parallel to the [010] direction; the molecules are linked by hydrogen bonds.

Introduction

Le polychélate Co-2,3-PYD. $2H_2O$ a été synthétisé par le Dr Antinelli (Antinelli, 1970) à partir d'un poids égal d'acide pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylique et d'acétate de cobalt. L'analyse chimique et l'analyse thermogravimétrique ont permis d'établir la formule brute

 $C_6\dot{H}_2N_2O_4\dot{C}o.2H_2O.$ L'auteur (Antinelli) a proposé, par analogie avec d'autres polychélates, une formule développée du type:



* Adresse actuelle: Département de Physique, Université du Québec à Montréal, C.P. 8888, Montréal, 101, P.Q., Canada.

Aucune étude n'a pu confirmer cette formule. L'objet du présent travail est donc de vérifier cette hypothèse. Ce polychélate est particulièrement intéressant car il peut contribuer à la synthèse de composés fortement magnétiques.

Partie expérimentale

La méthode de préparation du Co-2,3-PYD.2H₂O a été décrite précédemment (Antinelli, 1970). Soulignons que le thermogramme indique une perte en poids de 12,8 % dû au départ des deux molécules d'eau entre 135 et 320 °C, et que l'analyse thermique différentielle détermine le point de décomposition de ce polychélate à 360 °C (Antinelli, 1970). De plus, une bande d'absorption a été observée à la spectrométrie infrarouge vers 3205 cm⁻¹ (Antinelli, 1970), laissant prévoir les liaisons hydrogène autour de 2,8 Å.

Le cristal choisi pour l'étude cristallographique est une sphère d'environ 0,1 mm de rayon, obtenue par polissage d'un gros monocristal. La forme de l'échantillon a été dictée pour minimiser l'effet de l'absorption. Les règles de non-extinction (hkl: aucune extinction, 0kl: l=2n, h0l: l=2n et hk0: h=2n) établies par photographies Weissenberg et par photographies de précession indiquent que le polychélate Co-2,3-PYD,